

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 2	
2. MODIFICATION NO.: 0005		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 17 January 2003	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W81W3G-2140-7095	PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY THE PENN CENTER 1709 3 RD STREET NE – 3 RD FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20002		CODE CW31	7. ADMINISTERED BY: See Item 6		CODE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)			(x)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACW31-02-R-0044	
			X	12-Nov-2002	
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers X is extended ___ is not extended

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or © By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER No. ITEM 10A
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR43.103(b)
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: changes clause FAR 52.243.1
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ___ is not, ___ is required to sign this document and return ___ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

BELL/LINCOLN MULTICULTURAL SCHOOL, MODERNIZATION, WASHINGTON, DC

1. The time and date for receipt of proposals is hereby extended to 4:00PM, local time, January 31, 2003. Proposals will be delivered to the U. Soldiers and Airmens Home, 3700 North Capitol Street NW, 1st Floor North, Washington, DC 20017

2. Delete the existing Bid Schedule and replace with the attached revised Bid Schedule.

3. Delete the existing Evaluation Criteria located is section 00100 beginning on page 12 in its entirety and replace with the attached Bell Lincoln proposal submission requirements. Revisions have been made to paragraphs 3.3 and 6.3.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

4. Make the following changes to SF1442 block 8 correct to read as follows:

U.S. SOLDIERS AND AIRMENS HOME
3700 NORTH CAPITOL STREET NW
1ST FLOOR NORTH
WASHINGTON, DC 20017

Block 13 change the proposal due date from January 21, 2003 to January 31, 2002 the time remains 4:00pm local time.

Clause 52.0214-4042 (Delivery of Bids) delete The Penn Center, 1709 Third Street NE Third Floor, Washington, DC 20002 and replace with the above address. Our new mailing address is US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CENTRAL WASHINGTON AREA OFFICE, CONTRACTING, P.O. BOX 29138, WASHINGTON, DC 20017-9138

5. Contracting Section has moved to the above location, my new office phone number is 202-730-3791 or cell phone number is 202-437-8279.

6. All Contractors are to comply with the amendment acknowledgement procedures outlined in block number 11 above. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

PART I – THE SCHEDULE
SECTION 00100 – SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES

PRICE SCHEDULE

Item No.	Description	Amount
0001	TOTAL BASE BID exclusive of items 0002, 0003, 0004, 0005 0006, 0007 and 0008.	\$ _____
0002	All costs in connection with item AB02 - supplying and installing the rotating turn table and all related accessories to allow for the rotation of the Mini-UN.	\$ _____
0003	All costs in connection with item AB08 - the demolition of the existing Bell Multicultural High School, including site improvements except irrigation system.	\$ _____
0004	All costs in connection with item AB10 - Relocation of the 4 existing concrete mosaic columns.	\$ _____
0005	All costs in connection with item AB12 – Cosmetology Equipment	\$ _____
0006	All costs in connection with item AB13 - the irrigation system for the planting beds, softball field and soccer field.	\$ _____
0007	All costs in connection with item AB15 - the Performance Rigging Systems.	\$ _____
0008	All costs in connection with Quality Control (01312A)	\$ _____
TOTAL BID AMOUNT		\$ _____
<hr/>		
OPTIONS		
0008	All costs in connection with item AC01 - installing thin set terrazzo floor finish in lieu of Fritz Tile in the following spaces: A101, A137, A170, B102, C101, C134, C160, D102, D146 & E102.	\$ _____
0009	All costs in connection with item AC02 - omitting the concrete masonry units (CMU) and paint finish from the following spaces: A101, A137, A170, B102, C101, C134, C160, D102, D103, D118, D124, D124A, D127A, D127B, D146, E102, E103 and E124 and Substituting glazed ceramic block of the same size, thickness, strength, etc. in the spaces identified above.	\$ _____
TOTAL BID AMOUNT BASE BID PLUS OPTIONS ITEMS		\$ _____
<hr/>		

NOTES TO BIDDERS

Bidders must bid on all items including Optional Items. Failure to bid on all items may be cause for rejection of the bid.

Optional Items may be exercised at any time within 240 calendar days after contract award. The Contracting Officer may exercise the Optional Items by written notice to the Contractor, postmarked within the period specified above. The Government may exercise any, all or none of the listed Optional Items in any order.

No additional time for contract completion will be allowed when an Optional Item is exercised.

EVALUATION OF OPTIONS: Except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206(b) not to be in the Government's best interest, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirements. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). (FAR 52.217-5 JUL 1990)

BELL-LINCOLN SCHOOL Washington, D.C.
Solicitation No. DACW31-02-R-0044
Section 00100 – Proposal Submission Requirements

1.0 PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS:

1.1 General: In response to this solicitation the offerors are required to submit technical and cost proposals in accordance with the instructions herein. It is the intent of this solicitation to seek proposals from qualified offerors with experience and “satisfactory” performance ratings. The successful offeror will be selected based on who provides the best over-all value to the government, also known as the “Trade-Off” method. Proposals will be evaluated on their own merit based upon the criteria listed herein, which are described in descending order of importance.

1.2 Source Selection: This source selection may result in an award being made to a higher rated, higher priced offeror where the decision is consistent with the evaluation factors and where it is deemed by the Source Selection Authority that the technical superiority, overall business approach, and/or the past performance of the higher priced offeror outweighs the benefits of any price difference. The Source Selection Authority, using sound business judgement, will base the source selection decision on a trade off analysis of the proposals submitted in response to this solicitation in accordance with the evaluation factors established for this solicitation.

1.3 Format: Each offeror is required to submit his proposal consisting of the following volumes:

Volume I – Technical Proposal (4 copies plus original)

Volume II – Cost Proposal (2 copies plus original)

Volume III – Subcontracting Plan (2 copies plus original)

1.4 Envelopes: Proposal submission envelopes and/or boxes shall be marked:

Date of Opening: _____

Time of Opening: _____

Proposal for: DACA31-02-R-0044

1.5 Page Limits: The following page limits shall apply, which do not include title sheets, indices, tables of content, schedules, or cover sheets:

Volume I – Technical Proposal: 150 pages, maximum

Volume II – Cost/Price Proposal: (Section 00010, and Section 00600, Representation and Certifications)

Volume III – Subcontracting Plan, No page limit

A page printed on both sides will be counted as two pages. Pages containing text shall be submitted on 8-1/2 x 11 inch paper. Each page shall be minimally single spaced with a minimum 12 point font and one inch margins all around. Drawings or other graphics shall be reduced only to the extent legibility is not lost.

1.6 Where To Submit Proposal: Offerors shall submit their proposals to the US Army Corps of Engineers, US Soldiers and Airmens Home, 3700 North Capitol Street NW, Sherman Building 1st Floor North, Washington, DC 20017, no later than the time and date specified on Standard Form 1442, Block 13.

MAILING ADDRESS IS: US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CENTRAL WASHINGTON AREA OFFICE, CONTRACTING,
P.O. BOX 29138, WASHINGTON, DC 20017-9138

2.0 EVALUATION PROCESS:

2.1 Proposal Compliance Review: This review will assure that all required forms and certifications are complete and that the technical, price and subcontracting proposals have been received. Offerors are advised that the evaluation and rating of all proposals will be conducted in strict confidence.

2.2 Technical Qualifications: Volume I shall be reviewed, evaluated and rated by a Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) established by the Source Selection Authority (SSA). Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals. Incomplete proposals or failure to submit all data indicated below may result in a lower rating, and/or be cause for determining a proposal to be incomplete and, therefore, not considered for subsequent award. Technical proposals shall be evaluated based

upon the factors identified below. All factors shall be rated and are listed in descending order of importance.

FACTOR 1 – 3.1 Prime Contractor Relevant Experience

FACTOR 2 – 3.2 Prime Contractor Past Performance

FACTOR 3 – 3.3 Schedule

FACTOR 4 – 3.4 Prime Contractor Key Personnel

FACTOR 5 – 3.5 Major Subcontractors Past Performance

2.3 Price/Cost: Volume II will be evaluated by a Cost Evaluation Committee (CEC) established by the Source Selection Authority. Proposals shall be evaluated utilizing price analysis techniques. Prices in an offeror's proposal and breakdown are required to be reasonable for the work to be performed and consistent with relevant elements of the technical proposals. Price will not be scored. **All technical evaluation factors, when combined, shall be approximately equal to cost or price.**

2.4 Subcontracting: Volume III shall be reviewed by the Small Business Evaluation Committee (SBEC) established by the SSA. The Subcontracting Plan is part of the Source Selection Process and shall be rated on a “go, no-go” basis in accordance with AFARS Appendix DD. Large business concerns shall submit as part of their proposal their subcontracting projections for this project.

2.5 Trade-Off Analysis: After all above evaluations are complete, the Source Selection Authority will then consider all factors to determine which offeror has the proposal that represents the best overall value to the Government. It is the intent of the Government to award a contract to the offeror offering the most advantageous proposal to the Government considering that the Technical Evaluation Factors, when combined, are approximately equal to price.

2.6 Miscellaneous: The Government reserves the right to reject any or all proposals at any time prior to award, to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range, and to award a contract to the offeror with the most advantageous proposal. Offerors are advised that it is the intent of the Government that an award will be made without discussions. However, the Government reserves the right to hold discussions if it determines that discussions are necessary. Therefore, proposals should be submitted on the most favorable terms that the offeror can submit. Offerors should NOT assume that they will be contacted or afforded an opportunity to clarify, discuss or revise a proposal.

3.0 VOLUME I - TECHNICAL PROPOSALS:

Technical proposals shall be evaluated based upon the following criterion factors:

3.1 Prime Contractor Relevant Experience: The offeror shall demonstrate relevant experience by the physical completion and client acceptance of at least 3, but not more than 6, similar and relevant projects completed within the past five years. To be considered similar and relevant, these projects should demonstrate phasing, accelerated schedules, and the new construction of buildings with a minimum completion value of \$25,000,000. Proposals shall include at least the following:

- a) Project Name and Location
- b) Scope and Relevance
- c) Award Amount and Completion Amount
- d) Original and Final Contract Durations and Completion Dates
- e) Performance Rating
- f) Project Owner and Point of Contact Information
- g) Role of Offeror in Project
- h) Amount and Extent of Subcontracting
- i) Success in Commissioning the Project

Offerors may use the form “PRIME CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR EXPERIENCE” provided at the end of this section.

3.2 Prime Contractor Past Performance: The offeror shall provide the performance evaluations on the projects submitted under paragraph 3.1 above. The submitted projects shall have at least a “Satisfactorily” performance rating. Submission of correspondence from project owners will suffice if performance evaluation forms at the end of this section are not used. For government agency projects, the offeror shall submit that agency’s performance evaluation forms. The Government may contact the individuals identified by the offeror to confirm performance capabilities. The offeror must take whatever steps are necessary to ensure that the named individuals can be reached at the number indicated for a reference. The Government may use other information that is readily available to determine an offeror’s past performance, such as CCASS or ACASS ratings.

3.3 Schedule: Change To Submission Of Schedule Per Contract. The offeror shall submit a schedule in Microsoft Project, Primavera, or equal format that shows the offeror’s anticipated plan to execute the work for this project. At a minimum, the schedule should include the major trades and work activities, any interim milestone dates, key long lead submittals and completion of the project on time. The

following milestones/activities shall be included on the schedule; Lincoln demolition start/completion, Foundations start/completion, Roof completion, Elevator completion, Installation of Furnishings (for example, telescoping seating, kitchen equipment, lockers) completion, Old Bell High School demolition start and completion, Soccer and softball fields and tennis court start/completion. The schedule should demonstrate logical activity relationships, realistic durations and should show a reasonable and realistic understanding of this Request for Proposal.

3.4 Prime Contractor Key Personnel: The offeror shall submit resumes for the key project personnel for the management of this project highlighting specific experience, qualifications, training and number of years with the company. Additional sheets may be used to indicate awards or letters of recommendation for key personnel. The offeror shall submit an “Availability of Key Personnel” statement that indicates each individual’s current assignment and the offeror’s plan to ensure that the named personnel will be used on this project. The offeror shall submit the individuals below and identify their roles in the project:

Project Manager: Defined as the overall manager of the project. This person should have at least 10 years of experience as a project manager responsible for general construction work for either a prime contractor or a subcontractor. Experience with similar projects is highly desirable.

Project Superintendent: Defined as the overall field manager responsible for the actual construction. This person should have at least 10 years of experience as a superintendent project manager responsible for general construction work for either a prime contractor or a subcontractor. Experience with similar projects is highly desirable.

Quality Control Manager: Defined as the manager of all the various field Quality Control personnel and activities. This person should have experience as noted in the specifications.

3.5 Major Subcontractors Past Performance: The offeror shall submit the following information on any proposed major subcontractors to be used for this project. Major subcontractors shall include those used to do concrete, mechanical, electrical, and/or masonry work. Should the prime contractor be planning on performing any of this work using their own forces rather than by subcontracting, the prime contractor shall submit the following information for the foremen who will supervise these parts of the project. The offeror shall describe at least 3, but not more than 6, projects completed by the subcontractors/foremen during the last five years. The subcontractors/foremen shall provide references, performance evaluations, and/or letters of recommendation for these projects.

4.0 VOLUME II – COST PROPOSAL:

4.1 General: The Cost Proposal shall be placed in a separate envelope.

4.2 Price: The offeror shall submit Standard Form 1442, entitled “Solicitation, Offer and Award” as well as the Price Schedule included in this solicitation. This information will be evaluated for cost reasonableness and cost realism as related to the government estimate and price competitiveness.

4.3 Representations and Certifications: The offeror shall submit one completed original and two copies of Section 00600, Representations and Certifications.

5.0 VOLUME III – SUBCONTRACTING PROPOSAL:

Large Business offerors shall submit a subcontracting plan in accordance with Contract Clauses 52.219-8 and 52.219-9. To be acceptable, plans must adequately address the six required statutory elements and provide sufficient information to enable the Contracting Officer to answer affirmatively questions A through H of Appendix DD, AFARS 5119.705. Percentage goals apply to the total contract dollar amount being subcontracted. The current Baltimore District Small Business goals for this project are 65%. Of that 65% goal, 15% is to be placed with Small Disadvantaged Businesses, 8% is to be placed with Women Owned Small Businesses, 3% is to be placed with Veteran Owned Small Businesses, 3% is to be placed with HUB zone businesses and 3% is to be placed with Service Disabled Small Businesses. The original subcontracting plan shall be placed in a separate envelope.

6.0 EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL– VOLUME I

The technical proposal criteria described below indicate how the government will evaluate each offeror’s response to the requested information.

6.1 Prime Contractor Relevant Experience: Offerors shall meet this evaluation criterion by demonstrating

sufficient experience with similar projects. The information submitted should include those items indicated on the form “PRIME CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR EXPERIENCE” for each of the submitted projects. The offeror shall demonstrate similar and relevant experience by the physical completion and client acceptance of at least 3, but not more than 6, similar and relevant projects completed within the past five years. To be considered similar and relevant, these projects should demonstrate phasing, accelerated schedules, and the new construction of buildings with a minimum completion value of \$25,000,000. Favorable consideration will be given to those proposals that demonstrate an extensive amount of experience with similar and relevant projects.

6.2 Prime Contractor Past Performance: Offerors shall meet this evaluation criterion by demonstrating a satisfactory level of performance on the projects submitted under paragraph 3.1 above. Specifically, the offeror shall submit performance evaluations of at least 3, but not more than 6, similar and relevant projects completed within the past five years. To be considered similar and relevant, these projects must have included phased work as well as the construction of at least one large, complex, building with a minimum completion value of \$25,000,000. The submitted projects shall have at least a “satisfactory” performance rating. Favorable consideration will be given for performance ratings that exceed a “satisfactory” level of performance. Further favorable consideration will also be given for projects rated higher than satisfactory in the Corps of Engineers’ CCASS rating system.

6.3 Schedule: Submissions shall meet this criterion by including a schedule in Microsoft Project, Primavera, or equal format that shows the offeror’s anticipated plan to execute the work for this proposal. At a minimum, the schedule should include the major trades and work activities, any interim milestone dates, key long lead submittals and completion of the project on time. The following milestones/activities shall be included on the schedule; Lincoln demolition start/completion, Foundations start/completion, Roof completion, Elevator completion, Installation of Furnishings (for example, telescoping seating, kitchen equipment, lockers) completion, Old Bell High School demolition start and completion, Soccer and softball fields and tennis court start/completion. Favorable consideration shall be given to schedules that show project completion ahead of the allowable construction completion period while still demonstrating logical activity relationships, realistic durations, and a reasonable and realistic understanding of this Request for Proposal.

6.4 Prime Contractor Key Personnel: Submissions shall meet this evaluation criterion by submitting resumes of the key personnel indicated, identifying their roles and functions, and indicating their availability for this project. The offerors are advised that substitution of proposed key personnel will not be permitted unless approved by the contracting officer. Favorable consideration will be given for personnel with superior qualifications or personnel who demonstrate extensive experience with similar and relevant construction projects.

6.5 Major Subcontractors Past Performance: Offerors shall meet this evaluation criterion by submitting the requested information for each major subcontractor. Major subcontractors shall include those used to do concrete, mechanical, electrical, and/or masonry work. Should the prime contractor be planning on performing any of this work using their own forces rather than by subcontracting, the prime contractor shall submit the requested information for the foremen who will supervise these parts of the project. Offerors showing that the submitted subcontractors/foremen assisted them in receiving ratings that are higher than satisfactory for performance on previous projects will receive favorable consideration. Further favorable consideration will be given to subcontractors/foremen with experience on similar and relevant projects.

PRIME CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR EXPERIENCE

Company name _____

Name of Project/Location _____

General Scope of Project and Relevance to this project _____

Owner of the Project: _____

(Note: If Government Contract, give Contract No. and Contracting Office)

Owner's P.O.C. to include Name, Address and Phone _____

(Note: If Government Contract, give name of Contracting Officer)

Role (prime, joint venture, subcontractor) and work your company self-performed on this contract, and number of years in this role:

Construction Contract Completion Date: _____

Construction Contract Value at Award: _____

Construction Contract Value at Completion: _____

Extent and type of work you subcontracted out by percentage _____

Original Contract Duration: _____

Final Contract Duration: _____

Customer Satisfaction: (Attach awards, letters of appreciation, or other honoraria if received)

Lost time accidents and Safety Rating: _____

Success in Commissioning Electrical, Mechanical and LAN Systems: _____

Overall Rating for Quality Control and Timeliness of Completion: _____

**PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR
SOLICITATION NUMBER DACA31-02-R-0044**

The offeror listed is being considered in a Source Selection by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District. This is a request for Past Performance information on a project the offeror has identified as being relevant to this solicitation. This information will be used in the evaluation of the offeror's performance of that project. The following information, once submitted, will be treated as confidential and will not be released. This information will only be used to evaluate this offeror for this solicitation. If the relevant project was a Corps of Engineers or U.S. Navy project, submit the SF1420 Evaluation in lieu of this form.

Information may be typed or legibly handwritten in ink.

Please include evaluation of the performance of the contract based solely on which they are liable. Please do not let factors beyond the control of the contractor that resulted in performance delays or other problems bias this evaluation of their performance.

Past Project Information:

Contractor:
Project Title and Location:

Evaluator:

Owner's Name: _____	
Name: _____	Date: _____
Phone No: _____	Fax No. _____
Address: _____	
Position held of function in relation to project: _____	
Signature of Evaluator: _____	

The following is a definition of the rating system used:

Exceptional: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.

Very Good: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

Satisfactory: Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.

Marginal: Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified correction actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

Unsatisfactory: Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were effective.

Ratings: In completing this questionnaire, please circle a letter corresponding to your rating, or NA if you are unable to provide an evaluation for any area:

E=Exceptional, VG=Very Good, S=Satisfactory, M=Marginal, U=Unsatisfactory

Please provide clear and concise narrative explanations (both positive and negative) for your answers. This is especially important for any rating above or below satisfactory.

Please rate and provide any supporting information for the following: (Use additional sheets as needed)

1. The contractor's overall corporate management, integrity, reasonableness and cooperative conduct.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

2. The relationship between contractor and owner's team.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

3. The contractor's on-site management and coordination of subcontractors.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

4. The contractor's quality control (CQC) system.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

5. The contractor's performance on delivery of quality work.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

6. The contractor's ability to meet the performance schedule.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

7. What did the contractor do to improve schedule problems – if applicable

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

8. The contractor's ability to provide the required work at a reasonable total price.

Rating: E __, VG __, S __, M __, U __, N/A __

Comments:

9. The contractor's compliance with labor standards – if applicable.

Rating: E ____, VG ____, S ____, M ____, U ____, N/A ____

Comments:

10. The contractor's compliance with safety standards and/or number of incidents.

Rating: E ____, VG ____, S ____, M ____, U ____, N/A ____

Comments:

11. Did the contractor receive any of the following: Cure Notices; Show Cause; Letters of Reprimand; Suspension of Payments; or Termination? If Yes, please explain.

12. Would you award another contract to this contractor? In no, please state reasons for not recommending this contractor for additional work.

13. Customer satisfaction with end product.

14. Has the contractor been provide an opportunity to discuss any negative performance ratings? If so, what are the results?

15. OVERALL RATING:

Rating: E ____, VG ____, S ____, M ____, U ____, N/A ____

Comments:

Any Additional Comments: